



Value-based decision-making in regular cannabis users and non-users

Amelia Taylor and Amber Copeland

Department of Psychology, University of Sheffield

Background

- After alcohol and nicotine, cannabis is the most widely used drug in the world
- Behavioural economic accounts posit that *distorted* valuation processes underlie decisions to use cannabis ullet
- However, less is known about the internal cognitive processes that determine discrete instances of choice (Field et al., 2020)
- <u>Aim</u>: Explore whether computational parameters of value-based choice discriminate regular and non-regular cannabis users

Hypotheses: Compared to non-users, regular cannabis users will have higher drift rates, and lower response thresholds, when making value-based decisions about cannabis. The reverse pattern will be seen for drug-free alternatives

Method

Example cannabis block

Example drug-free block

- Pre-registered, between-subject design
- Regular cannabis users (n = 30) and non-users (n = 30) ulletwere recruited via Prolific (<u>www.prolific.co/</u>)
- Participants firstly made value judgements about cannabis lacksquareand drug-free alternative images
- They then completed a VBDM task where they selected ullettheir preferred image as quickly as possible



Results





Fig 1. Mean drift rates for cannabis and drug-free choices split by user status

Fig 2. Mean response thresholds for cannabis and drug-free choices split by user status

Conclusions

- People who use cannabis regularly value cannabis more than non-regular users, but they do <u>not</u> value drug-free alternatives less
- In line with behavioural economic accounts, findings suggest that the transition from non-regular to regular cannabis use is characterised by elevated cannabis drift rates
- Future studies could recruit more frequent cannabis users (e.g., near-daily; Lawn et al., 2023) and • explore whether decision parameters characterise cannabis-related behaviour change

Contact details M a.copeland@shef.ac.uk ✓ @_ambercopeland

To view our study pre-registration, visit: http://tiny.cc/SSA_reg

Scan for references!



Ethical approval was obtained from the University of Sheffield research ethics committee

All authors report no conflicts of interest